



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed and applicants' representative agreed to amending claims 1 and 10 to include the substance of claims 4 and 13 to place the application into condition for allowance. Subsequently, however, the examiner discovered new prior art (Ueyoko - US 6,478,064; esp. fig. 7) and informed applicant's representative (on 8/14/2011) that the previous indication of allowable subject matter was withdrawn and that a new office action would be forthcoming. For the record, the original proposal by facsimile was accompanied by the following comments: "Responsive to your 1/13/2011 amendment/RCE, I am proposing the following additional amendments in an effort to place this application into condition for allowance. I agree that the 1/13/2011 amendment/rce is sufficient to overcome the previous prior art rejection but the reference WO 80/00069 (cited in the 7/9/2010 IDS) would be applicable to the claims as amended. In particular, WO '069 teaches a process of building a tire in which a carcass (which can be metal - page 12, lines 36-38) is cylindrically formed followed by wrapping a layer (220 - fig. 11) that includes organic cords that cross the carcass cords, an entirety of this layer being in direct contact with the carcass layer (note esp. page 14 and figs. 10-11 of WO '069), this layer therefore satisfying the claimed requirements for the shape retaining layer. The cords of this layer are however at an angle of 0-13 degrees relative to the centerplane and therefore would cross the carcass cords at an angle well in excess of the claimed 1 to 12 angle. As such, the proposal is to include the limitations of dependent claims 4 and 13 in claims 1 and 10 respectively (and cancel claims 4 and 13)." .